

Nonlocal electrodynamics in 2+1 dimensions from Chern-Simons theory

Qiong-gui Lin

Department of Physics, Zhongshan University, Guangzhou 510275, P.R.China ¹

and

China Center of Advanced Science and Technology (World Laboratory),

P.O.Box 8730, Beijing 100080, P.R.China

Abstract

The theory of a spinor field interacting with a pure Chern-Simons gauge field in 2+1 dimensions is quantized. Dynamical and nondynamical variables are separated in a gauge-independent way. After the nondynamical variables are dropped, this theory reduces to a pure spinor field theory with nonlocal interaction. Several two-body scattering processes are studied and the cross sections are obtained in explicitly Lorentz invariant forms.

PACS number(s): 11.15.-q, 11.10.Lm

¹Mailing Address

1. Introduction

Chern-Simons theories in 2+1 dimensions were widely studied in recent years by both condensed matter physicists and field theorists, due to its potential applications to fractional quantum Hall effect and high temperature superconductivity, as well as to its own significance in gauge field theory. To field theorists the Chern-Simons theory without a Maxwell term [1] is of particular interest. In this field of investigation, many works have been devoted to classical soliton solutions [2-6] and the problem of fractional spin and exotic statistics [7,8]. In contrast, the quantum scattering processes have not admitted enough attention. In this circumstances, we are led to consider the problem.

In a recent work [9], we dealt with the scattering problem of the scalar Chern-Simons theory in some detail. The theory was quantized by Dirac's method [10]. Dynamical variables were separated from nondynamical ones in a gauge-independent way by some appropriate canonical transformations [11]. The quantized theory reduces to a pure scalar theory with nonlocal interaction in the physical subspace of the full Hilbert space. Several two-body scattering processes were studied there and the corresponding cross sections were obtained to the lowest order in the coupling constant.

In this paper we extend the previous work [9] to the spinor Chern-Simons theory. We quantize it in the next section by the Faddeev-Jackiw approach to quantization [12]. Though a complete treatment in Dirac's manner can be given as in Ref.[9], the former approach is much simpler in this case. After the Hamiltonian reduction is carried out, we perform an appropriate canonical transformation which enables us to separate dynamical variables from nondynamical ones in a gauge-independent way. Then the nondynamical variables can be dropped, and the theory reduces to one that involves only the spinor field

with nonlocal interaction. Quantization of the theory becomes thereupon straightforward. In Sec. 3, we calculate the cross sections of several two-body scattering processes. This is carried out within the framework of perturbation theory, and only the lowest-order contribution is calculated. Higher order correction remains to be further investigated. Though the theory seems simpler than ordinary QED in its original form, the calculation is much more complicated than that in QED. Moreover, to bring the cross sections into explicitly Lorentz invariant forms, one has to make out some Lorentz invariants in the scattering processes which are not manifestly invariant.

2. Quantization and perturbation expansion

Let us begin with the (2+1)-dimensional Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu D_\mu\psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi + \frac{\kappa}{2}\epsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu}A_\lambda\partial_\mu A_\nu \quad (2.1)$$

where $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + ieA_\mu$, $\epsilon^{012} = 1$, ψ is a two-component massive spinor field with mass m , A_μ is the Chern-Simons gauge field, e is the coupling constant. It will be seen below, however, that the physically relevant coupling strength is governed by e^2/κ . In (2.1) γ^μ are the Dirac matrices satisfying

$$\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu}. \quad (2.2)$$

We use the metric $g^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1)$. The algebra (2.2) can be realized by the Pauli matrices:

$$\gamma^0 = \sigma^3, \gamma^1 = i\sigma^1, \gamma^2 = i\sigma^2. \quad (2.3a)$$

In the representation (2.3a) we have

$$\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu = g^{\mu\nu} - i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\gamma_\lambda. \quad (2.3b)$$

This holds for all representations equivalent to (2.3a). In another representation, say,

$$\gamma^0 = -\sigma^3, \gamma^1 = i\sigma^1, \gamma^2 = i\sigma^2 \quad (2.4a)$$

which is not equivalent to (2.3a), we have

$$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu = g^{\mu\nu} + i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \gamma_\lambda \quad (2.4b)$$

instead of (2.3b). Again this is valid for all representations equivalent to (2.4a). The difference between (2.3b) and (2.4b) would not affect any physical result.

The theory described by (2.1) can be quantized by Dirac's method. However, a complete treatment in this manner is rather lengthy. Since the Lagrangian density is linear in the “velocities”, we prefer the Faddeev-Jackiw approach to quantization, which is much simpler in this case. The Lagrangian associated with (2.1) can be written as

$$L = \int d\mathbf{x} \left[i\bar{\psi}\gamma^0\dot{\psi} + \frac{\kappa}{2}\epsilon^{ij}A_j\dot{A}_i + i\bar{\psi}\gamma^i D_i\psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi - A_0(e\bar{\psi}\gamma^0\psi - \kappa\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i A_j) \right]. \quad (2.5)$$

Variation of the action with respect to A_0 gives rise to the constraint

$$\xi \equiv \rho + \kappa B \approx 0 \quad (2.6)$$

where $B = \epsilon^{ij}\partial_i A_j$ is the magnetic field and $\rho = e\bar{\psi}\gamma^0\psi$ is the charge density. Thus A_0 is not a dynamical variable. It just plays the role of a Lagrange multiplier. Variations of the action with respect to ψ , $\bar{\psi}$ and A_i gives their equations of motion. We rewrite (2.5) in the form

$$L = \int d\mathbf{x} \left[i\bar{\psi}\gamma^0\dot{\psi} + \frac{\kappa}{2}\epsilon^{ij}A_j\dot{A}_i \right] - H_T, \quad (2.7a)$$

$$H_T = \int d\mathbf{x} [-i\bar{\psi}\gamma^i D_i\psi + m\bar{\psi}\psi + A_0\xi]. \quad (2.7b)$$

It can be easily verified that the Lagrange equation of motion for any canonical variable $\Phi(\psi, \bar{\psi}$ or A_i) can take the Hamilton form

$$\dot{\Phi} = \{\Phi, H_T\}^* \quad (2.8)$$

if the following algebra is posited.

$$\{\psi(\mathbf{x}), \bar{\psi}(\mathbf{y})\}^* = -i\gamma^0 \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad (2.9a)$$

$$\{A_i(\mathbf{x}), A_j(\mathbf{y})\}^* = \frac{1}{\kappa} \epsilon_{ij} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad (2.9b)$$

where the time t common to all variables is suppressed. (It will be suppressed throughout the paper for simplicity in notations.) Here the “*” indicates that the brackets are not the ordinary Poisson ones. Indeed they are nothing less than the Dirac brackets one could find in the Dirac approach to quantization.

From (2.9) we see that $(A_1, \kappa A_2)$ is a canonical pair. This is not convenient for further treatment. Thus we decompose A_i into its longitudinal and transverse components as

$$A_i = A_i^L + A_i^T \equiv \partial_i \omega + \epsilon_{ij} \partial_j \eta. \quad (2.10)$$

It is easy to show that $\nabla^2 \eta = B$, and the only nonvanishing brackets among $\omega(\mathbf{x})$ and $B(\mathbf{x})$ is

$$\{\omega(\mathbf{x}), \kappa B(\mathbf{y})\}^* = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}). \quad (2.11)$$

Substituting (2.10) into (2.7) and dropping some surface terms we get

$$L = \int d\mathbf{x} [i\bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \dot{\psi} + \kappa B \dot{\omega}] - H_T \quad (2.12)$$

where H_T is still given by (2.7b) but where A_i is expressed in ω and B by using the relation

$$A_i^T(\mathbf{x}) = \int d\mathbf{y} \epsilon_{ij} \partial_j G(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) B(\mathbf{y}). \quad (2.13)$$

In this equation the partial derivative ∂_j is with respect to $\mathbf{x}$ and $G(\mathbf{x})$ is the Green function

$$G(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln |\mathbf{x}| \quad (2.14a)$$

satisfying

$$\nabla^2 G(\mathbf{x}) = \delta(\mathbf{x}). \quad (2.14b)$$

The canonical pair $(A_1, \kappa A_2)$ is thereby replaced by $(\omega, \kappa B)$.

In order to eliminate nondynamical variables, we now introduce the canonical transformation [11]

$$\psi = \exp(-ie\omega)\Psi, \bar{\psi} = \exp(ie\omega)\bar{\Psi}. \quad (2.15)$$

Under this transformation, (2.12) is changed to

$$L = \int d\mathbf{x} [i\bar{\Psi}\gamma^0\dot{\Psi} + \xi\dot{\omega}] - H_T, \quad (2.16a)$$

$$H_T = \int d\mathbf{x} [-i\bar{\Psi}\gamma^i D_i^T \Psi + m\bar{\Psi}\Psi + \lambda\xi], \quad (2.16b)$$

where $D_i^T = \partial_i + ieA_i^T$, and

$$A_i^T(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{\kappa} \int d\mathbf{y} \epsilon_{ij} \partial_j G(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \rho(\mathbf{y}), \quad (2.17a)$$

$$\rho = e\bar{\Psi}\gamma^0\Psi. \quad (2.17b)$$

In obtaining the results we have redefined the Lagrange multiplier A_0 and denoted it by λ . The brackets become

$$\{\Psi(\mathbf{x}), \bar{\Psi}(\mathbf{y})\}^* = -i\gamma^0\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad (2.18)$$

$$\{\omega(\mathbf{x}), \xi(\mathbf{y})\}^* = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}). \quad (2.19)$$

Obviously, the canonical pair $(\omega, \kappa B)$ has been further replcaed by (ω, ξ) . It is remarkable that the constraint ξ becomes a canonical momentum. Because of (2.6), the canonical

pair (ω, ξ) are not dynamical variables and thus can be simply dropped. Then (2.16) becomes

$$L = \int d\mathbf{x} \, i\bar{\Psi}\gamma^0\dot{\Psi} - H_T, \quad (2.20a)$$

$$H_T = \int d\mathbf{x} \, [-i\bar{\Psi}\gamma^i D_i^T \Psi + m\bar{\Psi}\Psi]. \quad (2.20b)$$

Hence we arrived at a theory described by (2.20), (2.17) and (2.18). It involves only the spinor field Ψ with nonlocal interaction. Since $\{\Psi(\mathbf{x}), \xi(\mathbf{y})\}^* = 0$, the spinor field Ψ is gauge invariant and thus seems more “physical” than the original ψ .

Now it is easy to accomplish the transition from classical to quantum theory. First the bracket (2.18) is promoted to an anticommutation relation

$$\{\Psi(\mathbf{x}), \bar{\Psi}(\mathbf{y})\} = \gamma^0 \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}). \quad (2.21)$$

Then the equation of motion is replaced by

$$i\dot{\Psi} = [\Psi, H_T] \quad (2.22)$$

where the commutator is evaluated by using (2.21), and

$$H_T = \int d\mathbf{x} \, : [-i\bar{\Psi}\gamma^i D_i^T \Psi + m\bar{\Psi}\Psi] : \quad (2.23)$$

where A_i^T is given by (2.17a) with

$$\rho = e : \bar{\Psi}\gamma^0\Psi : . \quad (2.24)$$

Since H_T involves ordering ambiguity, we have adopted the normal-ordering prescription denoted by colons, which will become clear in the interaction picture (see below). The normal-ordering of ρ just serves to remove a zero-point charge.

Similar to ordinary QED, we decompose H_T into two parts, the free Hamiltonian H_0 and the interacting one H :

$$H_T = H_0 + H, \quad (2.25a)$$

$$H_0 = \int d\mathbf{x} : [-i\bar{\Psi}\gamma^i\partial_i\Psi + m\bar{\Psi}\Psi] :, \quad (2.25b)$$

$$H = e \int d\mathbf{x} : \bar{\Psi}\gamma^i A_i^T \Psi :, \quad (2.25c)$$

and go to the interaction picture where Ψ etc. are transformed to Ψ_I etc.. In the following we work in the interaction picture but omit the subscript I . In this picture the anticommutation relation (2.21) remains unchanged. The field operator Ψ obeys the equation

$$i\dot{\Psi} = [\Psi, H_0] \quad (2.26)$$

while the evolution of a physical state $|P\rangle$ is governed by

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|P\rangle = H|P\rangle. \quad (2.27)$$

These are well known in quantum field theory. However, in 2+1 dimensions there is something different and must be described in some detail. On account of (2.26) and (2.25b) we have for Ψ the equation

$$(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m)\Psi = 0. \quad (2.28)$$

This is nothing but the Dirac equation in 2+1 dimensions, as it should be. The field operator Ψ can thus be expanded as

$$\Psi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (a_{\mathbf{k}} u_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-ik \cdot x} + b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger v_{\mathbf{k}} e^{ik \cdot x}) \quad (2.29)$$

where V is a two-dimensional normalization volume, $k_0 = \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + m^2}$ is positive, and $u_{\mathbf{k}}$, $v_{\mathbf{k}}$ satisfy the following equations.

$$(\gamma^\mu k_\mu - m)u_{\mathbf{k}} = 0, \quad (\gamma^\mu k_\mu + m)v_{\mathbf{k}} = 0. \quad (2.30)$$

The solutions $u_{\mathbf{k}}$, $v_{\mathbf{k}}$ are normalized as

$$u_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger u_{\mathbf{k}} = 1, \quad v_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger v_{\mathbf{k}} = 1. \quad (2.31)$$

It should be remarked that there is no spin index for the spinors $u_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $v_{\mathbf{k}}$ in 2+1 dimensions, since for a given $\mathbf{k}$ there is only one linearly independent solution to any one of (2.30). The spin operator with regard to Eq.(2.28) can be shown to be

$$J = \frac{i}{4} \epsilon^{ij} \gamma^i \gamma^j. \quad (2.32)$$

In the representation (2.3) or (2.4), we have

$$J = \pm \frac{1}{2} \gamma^0 \quad (2.33)$$

where the upper(lower) sign corresponds to (2.3)((2.4)). On the other hand, (2.30) reduces to

$$\gamma^0 u_{\mathbf{k}} = u_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad \gamma^0 v_{\mathbf{k}} = -v_{\mathbf{k}} \quad (2.34)$$

when $\mathbf{k} = 0$. Therefore when $\mathbf{k} = 0$ $u_{\mathbf{k}}$ has spin $1/2$ or $-1/2$ according as the representation (2.3) or (2.4) is employed, while $v_{\mathbf{k}}$ has the opposite spin to $u_{\mathbf{k}}$. The discussion also holds for those representations equivalent to (2.3) or (2.4). From (2.30) one can derive the orthogonal relations

$$\bar{u}_{\mathbf{k}} v_{\mathbf{k}} = 0, \quad \bar{v}_{\mathbf{k}} u_{\mathbf{k}} = 0. \quad (2.35)$$

Eqs.(2.31) and (2.35) enables us to find from (2.21) the nonvanishing anticommutators among $a_{\mathbf{k}}$, $b_{\mathbf{k}}$ etc.:

$$\{a_{\mathbf{k}}, a_{\mathbf{l}}^\dagger\} = \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{l}}, \quad \{b_{\mathbf{k}}, b_{\mathbf{l}}^\dagger\} = \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{l}}. \quad (2.36)$$

Similar to ordinary QED, it can be shown that

$$u_{\mathbf{k}} \bar{u}_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\gamma \cdot k + m}{2k_0}, \quad v_{\mathbf{k}} \bar{v}_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\gamma \cdot k - m}{2k_0}, \quad (2.37)$$

which will be useful in the next section. Again we emphasize that there is no spin summation in (2.37), which is different from the case in 3+1 dimensions.

As in ordinary QED, $a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$ is the creation operator of a particle with momentum $\mathbf{k}$, energy k_0 , and charge e , while $a_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the corresponding annihilation operator. Similarly, $b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$ creates an antiparticle with momentum $\mathbf{k}$, energy k_0 , and charge $-e$, while $b_{\mathbf{k}}$ annihilates it.

The transition amplitude for the system from an initial state $|i\rangle$ to a final one $|f\rangle$ is given by

$$A_{fi} = \langle f|S|i\rangle \quad (2.38)$$

where S is the scattering operator given by

$$S = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S^{(n)}, \quad (2.39a)$$

$$S^{(n)} = \frac{(-i)^n}{n!} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt_1 \cdots dt_n T[H(t_1) \cdots H(t_n)]. \quad (2.39b)$$

It can be seen from (2.25c) and (2.17) that $S^{(n)}$ is of the order $(e^2/\kappa)^n$, thus the ratio e^2/κ is similar to the electromagnetic coupling constant in QED, governing the strength of coupling. For two-body scattering, the lowest-order contribution to S comes from $S^{(1)}$:

$$S^{(1)} = i \frac{e^2}{\kappa} \int dt d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_j G(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) : \bar{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}) \gamma^i \Psi(\mathbf{x}) \bar{\Psi}(\mathbf{y}) \gamma^0 \Psi(\mathbf{y}) : . \quad (2.40)$$

With the preparations of this section, we are now equipped to investigate two-body scattering processes in detail.

3. Cross sections and Lorentz invariance

For two-body scattering, the transition amplitude (2.38) can be written in the form

$$A_{fi} = (2\pi)^3 V^{-2} \delta(p + q - k - l) R(p, q, k, l) \quad (3.1)$$

where k, l are the initial three-momenta of the two particles and p, q are final ones, the function $R(p, q, k, l)$ depends on the particular process. By the method similar to that used in QED[13], the cross section (in two spatial dimensions it may be more appropriately called the cross width) can be found to be

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{2\pi|\mathbf{k}/k_0 - \mathbf{l}/l_0|} \int d\theta \frac{p_0 |R|^2}{\partial(p_0 + q_0)/\partial p_0} \quad (3.2)$$

where θ is the angle between $\mathbf{p}$ and the incident direction which is defined as the direction of the momentum of the incident particle in the laboratory system. This is subject to the condition

$$\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{l} \quad (3.3a)$$

by which q_0 depends on p_0 (or $|\mathbf{p}|$) and θ . In evaluating the partial derivative $\partial q_0/\partial p_0$, θ is treated as a constant. Finally the result (3.2) is further subject to the additional condition

$$p_0 + q_0 = k_0 + l_0 \quad (3.3b)$$

which, together with (3.3a), exhibit conservation of the total momentum and energy. The integration bounds of θ in (3.2) depends on the frame of reference where the calculation is carried out. It also depends on the particular process dealt with.

The Mandelstam invariants defined as

$$s = (k + l)^2 = 2k \cdot l + 2m^2, \quad (3.4a)$$

$$t = (p - k)^2 = -2p \cdot k + 2m^2, \quad (3.4b)$$

$$u = (p - l)^2 = -2p \cdot l + 2m^2 \quad (3.4c)$$

will be employed below. The invariant t should not be confused with the time. On account of (3.3) we have

$$s + t + u = 4m^2. \quad (3.5)$$

The range of values for a physical scattering process is

$$s > 4m^2, \quad t \leq 0, \quad u \leq 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Before going into the details of any particular process, we would like to recast the formula (3.2) into a form convenient for writing it in a manifestly Lorentz invariant manner. First of all it should be emphasized that invariance of the cross section is justified only in those frames of reference where $\mathbf{k} \parallel \mathbf{l}$ ($\mathbf{l}$ points at the same or opposite direction of $\mathbf{k}$.) In the following such frames of reference are called parallel systems, and the Lorentz transformations among these systems are called parallel boosts. In these systems, one can show that

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\pi s \sqrt{s - 4m^2}} \int dt \frac{p_0 q_0 k_0 l_0 |R|^2}{\sqrt{tu}}. \quad (3.7)$$

For a Lorentz invariant theory, one expects on the basis of (3.7) that $p_0 q_0 k_0 l_0 |R|^2$ might be written in a Lorentz invariant form. When this is done, (3.7) gives the explicitly Lorentz invariant expression for the cross section. Of course, the integration bounds should also be Lorentz scalars.

We are now ready to calculate the cross sections for various scattering processes. For particle-particle scattering, the initial and final states are

$$|i\rangle = a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{l}}^\dagger |0\rangle, \quad |f\rangle = a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{q}}^\dagger |0\rangle \quad (3.8)$$

where $|0\rangle$ is the vacuum state defined by

$$a_{\mathbf{k}} |0\rangle = 0, \quad b_{\mathbf{k}} |0\rangle = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{k}. \quad (3.9)$$

The transition amplitude is given by (3.1) where R can be shown to be

$$R(p, q, k, l) = \sum_{i=1}^4 R_i(p, q, k, l) \quad (3.10a)$$

where

$$R_1(p, q, k, l) = \frac{e^2}{\kappa} \frac{\epsilon^{ij}(p-k)^i}{(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k})^2} \bar{u}_{\mathbf{q}} \gamma^j u_{\mathbf{l}} \bar{u}_{\mathbf{p}} \gamma^0 u_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad (3.10b)$$

$$R_2(p, q, k, l) = -R_1(p, q, l, k), \quad (3.10c)$$

$$R_3(p, q, k, l) = -R_1(q, p, k, l), \quad (3.10d)$$

$$R_4(p, q, k, l) = R_1(q, p, l, k). \quad (3.10e)$$

Our main job is to calculate $|R|^2$. This essentially reduces to the evaluation of the traces of various products of the γ matrices, due to the relation (2.37). The evaluation of such traces is similar to those in 3+1 dimensions when an even number of γ 's is involved. The product of an odd number of γ matrices in 2+1 dimensions has in general nonvanishing trace, however. For example,

$$\text{tr}(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\lambda) = \mp 2i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \quad (3.11)$$

where the upper(lower) sign corresponds to the representation (2.3) ((2.4)) or its equivalent representations. The different signs in (3.11) do not influence the final result. The trace of the product of five γ 's may also be of use in the calculation, but not mandatory. What is important is that the trace of the product of an odd number γ 's is imaginary while that of an even number ones is real. This may simplify some calculations. The following relations are also useful.

$$\gamma^i \gamma \cdot k \gamma^i = 2\gamma^0 k^0, \quad \gamma^0 \gamma \cdot k \gamma^0 = \gamma \cdot \bar{k} \quad (3.12)$$

where k is an arbitrary Lorentz vector and $\bar{k}$ is defined as

$$\bar{k}^\mu = (k^0, -\mathbf{k}) \quad (3.13)$$

such that

$$\bar{p} \cdot k = p \cdot \bar{k} = p^0 k^0 + \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{k} \quad (3.14)$$

where p is another Lorentz vector. Of course $\bar{k}$ is not a Lorentz vector and $p \cdot \bar{k}$ is not a Lorentz scalar. Since the evaluation of $|R|^2$ is very lengthy we introduce some notations defined as follows.

$$P_i = R_i^* R_i \text{ (no summation over } i), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \quad (3.15a)$$

$$P_{ij} = R_i^* R_j + R_i R_j^*, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq 4. \quad (3.15b)$$

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{l}. \quad (3.16)$$

With the above preparations, we write down the following results obtained from straightforward but lengthy calculations.

$$P_{12} + P_{34} + P_{13} + P_{24} = -\frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{s - 4m^2}{2p_0 q_0 k_0 l_0}, \quad (3.17)$$

$$P_1 + P_4 + P_{14} = \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{m^2}{p_0 q_0 k_0 l_0} + \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{1}{4p_0 q_0 k_0 l_0} \left[\frac{(q_0 + l_0)\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{p} - (p_0 + k_0)\mathbf{l} \times \mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{a}^2} \right]^2 \quad (3.18)$$

where $\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{p} = \epsilon^{ij} k^i p^j$ etc.. The next step is to bring the quantity in the above square bracket into a manifestly Lorentz invariant form, and simplify the above equation at the same time. This is not very easy since neither the denominator nor the numerator is Lorentz invariant. To our knowledge similar case was not encountered in ordinary QED. So we will describe it in some detail. Obviously, $\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{p} = k_{\parallel} p_{\perp}$ where $k_{\parallel}$ is the component of $\mathbf{k}$ in the incident direction ($k_{\parallel} = \pm |\mathbf{k}|$) and $p_{\perp}$ that of $\mathbf{p}$ in the perpendicular direction. So

$$\frac{(q_0 + l_0)\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{p} - (p_0 + k_0)\mathbf{l} \times \mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{a}^2} = \frac{2(l_0 k_{\parallel} - k_0 l_{\parallel}) + (k_0 - p_0)(k_{\parallel} + l_{\parallel})}{\mathbf{a}^2} p_{\perp}. \quad (3.19)$$

$p_{\perp}$ is obviously invariant under parallel boosts. It is easy to show that $l_0 k_{\parallel} - k_0 l_{\parallel}$ is also Lorentz invariant in the parallel systems. Of particular importance here is that the same thing can be proved for $(k_{\parallel} + l_{\parallel})/(k_0 - p_0)$. We choose $\mathbf{l} = 0$ in the laboratory system without loss of generality. Then it can be easily verified that

$$p_{\perp}^2 = \frac{tu}{s - 4m^2} \quad (3.20a)$$

$$l_0 k_{\parallel} - k_0 l_{\parallel} = \frac{\sqrt{s(s - 4m^2)}}{2}, \quad (3.20b)$$

$$\frac{k_{\parallel} + l_{\parallel}}{k_0 - p_0} = -\frac{\sqrt{s(s - 4m^2)}}{t}. \quad (3.20c)$$

Indeed, the first two relations have been used in obtaining (3.7). If we choose $\mathbf{k} = 0$ in the laboratory system, the last two relations will be modified by a minus sign. This, however, does not affect the final result. Collecting these results we obtain

$$P_1 + P_4 + P_{14} = \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{m^2}{p_0 q_0 k_0 l_0} + \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{1}{4p_0 q_0 k_0 l_0} \frac{su}{t}. \quad (3.21)$$

By interchanging k and l and thus t and u in the result (3.21) we obtain

$$P_2 + P_3 + P_{23} = \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{m^2}{p_0 q_0 k_0 l_0} + \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{1}{4p_0 q_0 k_0 l_0} \frac{st}{u}. \quad (3.22)$$

These two equations, together with (3.17), give the final result of $|R|^2$:

$$|R|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^4 P_i + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} P_{ij} = \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{4m^2}{p_0 q_0 k_0 l_0} + \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{1}{4p_0 q_0 k_0 l_0} \frac{s(t - u)^2}{tu}. \quad (3.23)$$

In the center-of-mass system, it is easy to show that

$$|R|^2 = \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{4(m^2 + k_0^2 \cot^2 \theta)}{k_0^4}. \quad (3.24)$$

The cross section, expressed in the form (3.2), turns out to be

$$\sigma_{pp} = \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{1}{2\pi |\mathbf{k}| k_0^2} \int_0^\pi d\theta (m^2 + k_0^2 \cot^2 \theta). \quad (3.25)$$

The integration of θ is performed only for $\theta > 0$ since the two particles are identical. Taking this into account and employing (3.7) we obtain the explicitly Lorentz invariant expression for the cross section:

$$\sigma_{pp} = \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{1}{4\pi s \sqrt{s - 4m^2}} \int_{4m^2 - s}^0 dt \frac{s(t - u)^2 + 16m^2 tu}{(tu)^{3/2}}. \quad (3.26)$$

From (3.26) one can easily acquire the expression of σ_{pp} in the laboratory system or other systems.

For antiparticle-antiparticle scattering, the result is completely the same as that of particle-particle scattering. The final process we are going to study is the scattering between a particle and an antiparticle. In this case

$$|i\rangle = a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{l}}^\dagger |0\rangle, \quad |f\rangle = a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{q}}^\dagger |0\rangle. \quad (3.27)$$

The transition amplitude is given by (3.1) where R is replaced by $\tilde{R}$, and

$$\tilde{R}(p, q, k, l) = \sum_{i=1}^4 \tilde{R}_i(p, q, k, l) \quad (3.28a)$$

where

$$\tilde{R}_1(p, q, k, l) = \frac{e^2}{\kappa} \frac{\epsilon^{ij} a^i}{\mathbf{a}^2} \bar{u}_{\mathbf{p}} \gamma^j u_{\mathbf{k}} \bar{v}_{\mathbf{l}} \gamma^0 v_{\mathbf{q}}, \quad (3.28b)$$

$$\tilde{R}_2(p, q, k, l) = -\frac{e^2}{\kappa} \frac{\epsilon^{ij} a^i}{\mathbf{a}^2} \bar{v}_{\mathbf{l}} \gamma^j v_{\mathbf{q}} \bar{u}_{\mathbf{p}} \gamma^0 u_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad (3.28c)$$

$$\tilde{R}_3(p, q, k, l) = \frac{e^2}{\kappa} \frac{\epsilon^{ij} c^i}{\mathbf{c}^2} \bar{v}_{\mathbf{l}} \gamma^j u_{\mathbf{k}} \bar{u}_{\mathbf{p}} \gamma^0 v_{\mathbf{q}}, \quad (3.28d)$$

$$\tilde{R}_4(p, q, k, l) = -\frac{e^2}{\kappa} \frac{\epsilon^{ij} c^i}{\mathbf{c}^2} \bar{u}_{\mathbf{p}} \gamma^j v_{\mathbf{q}} \bar{v}_{\mathbf{l}} \gamma^0 u_{\mathbf{k}} \quad (3.28e)$$

where $\mathbf{a}$ is defined in (3.16) and

$$\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{l}. \quad (3.29)$$

Since the evaluation of $|\tilde{R}|^2$ is also tedious, we define the quantities $\tilde{P}_i, \tilde{P}_{ij}$ in a similar way as (3.15). It is not difficult to find that

$$\tilde{P}_1 = P_4, \quad \tilde{P}_2 = P_1, \quad \tilde{P}_{12} = P_{14}. \quad (3.30)$$

Thus

$$\tilde{P}_1 + \tilde{P}_2 + \tilde{P}_{12} = P_1 + P_4 + P_{14} = \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{m^2}{p_0 q_0 k_0 l_0} + \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{1}{4 p_0 q_0 k_0 l_0} \frac{su}{t}. \quad (3.31)$$

In the parallel systems one can show that

$$\tilde{P}_3 + \tilde{P}_4 + \tilde{P}_{34} = \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{m^2}{p_0 q_0 k_0 l_0} + \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{1}{4 p_0 q_0 k_0 l_0} \frac{tu}{s}. \quad (3.32)$$

The calculation is similar to that carried out above for particle-particle scattering, and we have realized the fact that $(k_0 - l_0)/(k_{\parallel} + l_{\parallel})$ is invariant under parallel boosts and employed the relation (as before, we choose $\mathbf{l} = 0$ in the laboratory system)

$$\frac{k_0 - l_0}{k_{\parallel} + l_{\parallel}} = \sqrt{\frac{s - 4m^2}{s}}. \quad (3.33)$$

After lengthy but straightforward calculations it turns out that

$$\tilde{P}_{13} + \tilde{P}_{14} + \tilde{P}_{23} + \tilde{P}_{24} = \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{4m^2 - u}{2 p_0 q_0 k_0 l_0}. \quad (3.34)$$

The evaluation of $|\tilde{R}|^2$ is accomplished by gathering the above results and the final result reads

$$|\tilde{R}|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^4 \tilde{P}_i + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} \tilde{P}_{ij} = \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{4m^2}{p_0 q_0 k_0 l_0} + \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{1}{4 p_0 q_0 k_0 l_0} \frac{u(t-s)^2}{ts}. \quad (3.35)$$

This can be obtained by interchanging p and $-k$ and thus u and s in the previous result (3.23) for particle-particle scattering. However, there seems not sufficient reason to get (3.35) by such an interchange at the beginning. In the center-of-mass system, it is easy to show that

$$|\tilde{R}|^2 = \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{4m^2}{k_0^4} + \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{1}{k_0^2} \cot^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{k_0^2} \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^2. \quad (3.36)$$

The cross section expressed by (3.2) turns out to be

$$\sigma_{pa} = \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{1}{8\pi|\mathbf{k}|} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \left[\frac{4m^2}{k_0^2} + \cot^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{k_0^2} \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (3.37)$$

Here the integration is performed over the full range of θ since the two particles are distinguishable. The explicitly Lorentz invariant form of σ_{pa} is easily acquired by substituting (3.35) into the expression (3.7):

$$\sigma_{pa} = \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{1}{2\pi s^2 \sqrt{s - 4m^2}} \int_{4m^2-s}^0 dt \frac{u(t-s)^2 + 16m^2ts}{t\sqrt{tu}}. \quad (3.38)$$

From this general result the expression of σ_{pa} in the laboratory system can be easily obtained.

We have thus finished the calculation of the cross sections for the several two-body scattering processes in this theory. The calculation seems much more complicated than that in ordinary QED, though the theory seems simpler in its original form. In order to put the cross sections into manifestly Lorentz invariant forms, one has to work out some relations such as (3.20c) and (3.33), which were not encountered in ordinary QED to our knowledge. The calculation is carried out only to the lowest order in e^2/κ , or at the tree level. Higher-order corrections remain to be further investigated. It may be expected that the calculation of this would be still more complicated than that presented here.

This work was supported by the Doctoral Programme Foundation of the National Education Commission of China and by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

References

- [1] C. R. Hagen, Ann. Phys. **157**(1984)342.
- [2] J. Hong, Y. Kim, and P. Y. Pac, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**(1990)2230;
R. Jackiw and E. J. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**(1990)2234.
- [3] R. Jackiw, K. Lee, and E. J. Weinberg, Phys Rev. D **42**(1990)3488.
- [4] R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64** (1990)2969; Phys. Rev. D **42**(1990)3500.
- [5] Z. F. Ezawa, M. Hotta, and A. Iwazaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**(1991)411; **67**(1991)
1475(E); R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**(1991)415.
- [6] R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. D **44**(1991)2524;
Q.-G. Lin, Phys. Rev. D **48**(1993)1852.
- [7] G. W. Semenoff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**(1988)517; **63**(1989)1026;
C. R. Hagen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**(1989)1025.
- [8] R. Banerjee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**(1992)17; **70**(1993)3519;
C. R. Hagen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**(1993)3518.
- [9] Q.-G. Lin, Commun. Theor. Phys., to appear.
- [10] P. A. M. Dirac, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics* (Yeshiva University, New York, 1964).
- [11] D. Boyanovsky, E. T. Newman, and C. Rovelli, Phys. Rev. D **45**(1992)1210.
- [12] L. Faddeev and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**(1988)1692.
- [13] S. N. Gupta, *Quantum Electrodynamics* (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1977).